CONFIDENTIAL

REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION PARESION SITE

Alias Site Names: City: Last Molese County or Parish: Report Jeland Report type: FSIP Repail Report developed by: Jeland Ste Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site action, but is deferred to: NRC NO Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower 2b. Activity	Site Name:	hn Allre planester EPA ID#: ILS 025 423 054
Report Open Dested: Report Open Dested: Report of Report Open Dested: Report developed by: DECISION: 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1. Site does not qualify for further remedial steepen action, but is deferred to: NRC No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 1. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower 2b. Activity: PA ESI - Qualifier = G - Further assessment or N Type: SI HAS evaluation DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This refer is complete and approvable. The sale was 10. 89. I called Bet larger and he said Attitude only concurs in the sedences. The has blen no sedence of the sedences of the sed	Alias Site Names:	
Report developed by: 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA action, but is deferred to: NRC NO Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower	city: <u>Cast</u>	
DECISION: 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower	Refer to Report Dated:	neport type.
1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because: 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA action, but is deferred to: NRC NO Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower 2b. Activity PA	Report developed by:	Illinois EPA
1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower	DECISION:	
Site assessment under CERCLA No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower 2b. Activity PA ESI - Qualifier = G - Further assessment or N Type: SI HRS evaluation USEPARECORDS CENTER REGION 5 ESI STEP DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This ufact in complete and approvable The scale was 10. 89. I called Bate Carper and he send Alat her only concur is the sedicients. The her her her mo Sediment sanfler which the true though the recommendation is a low substity the Carper agust with me in a NFRHY stragnation.	1. Further Rem	dial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is <u>not</u> required because:
2b. Activity PA ESI - Qualifier = G - Further assessment or N Type: SI HRS evaluation US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5 HRS evaluation US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5 HRS evaluation US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5 488615 DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This refact in complete and approvable. The sace was 10.89. I called Bab Carper and he said Alat hes only concura is the sediments. The has hum no sediment sandflers taken true thangh the rewnitedation is a form situation.	site No	assessment under CERCLA action, but is deferred to: NRC urther Remedial Action Planned
DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This refer to complete and approvable The scare was 10.89. I called Bat Carper and he said Alut hes only concurs is the sediments. The heis blue most produced by the recommendation is a low subject taken true though the recommendation is a low subject.		sment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. Priority: Higher Lower
DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This refort in complete and approvable The scare was 10.89. I called Bab Carper and he send that her only concup is the sedements. The has hum no sediment samples with the true though the recommendation is a low snouty My Carper agreed with me on a NEPAN disagnation.		SI HRS evaluation
DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This refact in complete and approvable The scare was 10.89. I called Bate Carper and he said that her only concura is the sediments. The has her no sediment sandflesh taken true though the rewnmendation is a low sirouty M Caeper agreed with me on a NFRAN designation.		
DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: This refact in complete and approvable The scare was 10.89. I called Bate Carper and he said that her only concura is the sediments. The has her no sediment sandflesh taken true though the rewnmendation is a low sirouty M Caeper agreed with me on a NFRAN designation.		
and to change the status of the sele to NHPAP.	Stat her sediment, is a la present sed to re	only concup is the sediments the has her mo another taken true though the recommendation we should my the me on a gration.
eport Reviewed and Approved by: Signature: Sozia B. Rega Date: 9-26	•	Signature: Sozie B. Jaga Date: 9-26-9
site Decision Made by: Signature. Surviv Date: 9-26	•	Signature Sonia A. Jega Date: 9-26-91